arXiv:1604.01865v1 [math.RT] 7 Apr 2016

COHOMOLOGICAL HALL ALGEBRAS AND AFFINE QUANTUM GROUPS

YAPING YANG AND GUFANG ZHAO

Abstract. We study the preprojective cohomological Hall algebra (CoHA) introduced by the authors in [YZ1] for any quiver Q and any one-parameter formal group $\mathbb{G}$. In this paper, we construct a comultiplication on the CoHA, making it a bialgebra. We also construct the Drinfeld double of the CoHA. The Drinfeld double is a quantum affine algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ associated to Q, whose quantization comes from the formal group $\mathbb{G}$. We prove, when the group $\mathbb{G}$ is the additive group, the Drinfeld double of the CoHA is isomorphic to the Yangian.

0. Introduction

In this paper, we construct comultiplications on certain cohomological Hall algebras, making them bialgebras. We also construct the Drinfeld double of these bialgebras. This gives a uniform way to construct both old and new affine-type quantum groups.

The cohomological Hall algebra involved, called the preprojective cohomological Hall algebra (CoHA for short) and denoted by $\mathcal{P}(Q,A)$ or simply $\mathcal{P}$, is associated to a quiver Q and an algebraic oriented cohomology theory A. The construction of the preprojective CoHA is given in [YZ1], as a generalization of the K-theoretic Hall algebra of commuting varieties studied by Schiffmann-Vasserot in [SV12]. The preprojective CoHA is defined to be the A-homology of the moduli of representations of the preprojective algebra of Q. It has the same flavor as the cohomological Hall algebra associated to Jacobian algebra defined by Kontsevich-Soibelman [KoSo11]. We also construct an action of $\mathcal{P}(Q,A)$ on the A-homology of Nakajima quiver varieties associated to Q.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ be the corresponding symmetric Kac-Moody Lie algebra of Q and $\mathfrak{b}_Q \subset \mathfrak{g}_Q$ be the Borel subalgebra. As is shown in [YZ1], a certain spherical subalgebra in an extension of $\mathcal{P}(Q,A)$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}(Q,A)$ or $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$, is a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{b}_Q[\![u]\!])$, where the quantization depends on the underlying formal group law of A. As in the case of quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_Q$, Drinfeld double of the Borel subalgebra should be the entire quantum group. This is the subject of the present paper. We construct a coproduct on $\mathcal{P}(Q,A)$, and define the Drinfeld double, which is a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{g}_Q[\![u]\!])$. Assume $A_{\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ for some 1-dimensional algebraic group or formal group. When $\mathbb{G}$ is an affine algebraic group, the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{P}(Q,A)$ recovers the Drinfeld realization of the quantization of Manin triple associated to $\mathbb{P}^1$ as described in [D86, § 4]. When $\mathbb{G}$ is a formal group which does not come from an algebraic group, this gives new affine quantum groups which have not been studied in literature. In the case when $\mathbb{G}$ is the elliptic curve, the method here gives a Drinfeld realization of the elliptic quantum group of [Fed94] which is previously unknown.

In this paper, we focus on the purely algebraic description of $\mathcal{P}(Q,A)$ in terms of shuffle algebra without explicit reference to Nakajima quiver varieties. The shuffle algebra is reviewed in details in § 1. The algebra $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ has two deformation parameters t_1, t_2, coming from a 2-dimensional torus

Date: April 8, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37; Secondary 14F43, 55N22.

Key words and phrases. Quantum group, shuffle algebra, Hall algebra, Yangian, Drinfeld double.

action. Let $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ by the torsion part over the $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}})^2$-equivariant parameters t_1, t_2. In § 2 of the present paper, we prove the following.

Theorem A. There is a comultiplication $\Delta : \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}} \to \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}} \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$, making $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ a bialgebra.

Here the completion $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}} \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ is explained in § 2. Moreover, this comultiplication on the completion comes from expansion of certain rational morphism, the formula of which is in § 2.

In § 3, we construct the Drinfeld double of the bialgebra $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$, denoted by $D(\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$, which is a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{g}_Q[\![u]\!])$ (in a sense explained in Remark 1.8), when $\mathbb{G}$ is an algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ endowed with a one form ω. Since $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ is infinite-dimensional, we need to define a non-degenerate bialgebra pairing in order to define the Drinfeld double. The natural pairing is a residue pairing on a certain adèle version of $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$, which is also a bialgebra with multiplication and comultiplication given by the same formulas as those on $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$, the precise definition of which can be found in § 3.1.

In § 4, we study in details this Drinfeld double $D(\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$ in the example when the group $\mathbb{G}$ is additive. A quotient of $D(\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$ is called the reduced Drinfeld double (defined in § 4.1), denoted by $\overline{D}(\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$.

Theorem B. Assume Q has no edge-loops. There is an isomorphism as bialgebras of $\overline{D}(\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})|_{t_1=t_2=\hbar/2}$ with the Yangian $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ endowed with the Drinfeld comultiplication.

As far as we know, this is the first construction of the Yangian as a Drinfeld double of the Borel subalgebra $Y_\hbar^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. The double Yangian, which is the Drinfeld double of Yangian, can also be realized in a similar way. (See Remark 4.4 for details.)

Historically, there are a number of attempts to construct quantum groups using shuffle algebras. Rosso [R98] constructed the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ as a subalgebra in a suitable a shuffle algebra, as an intrinsic description of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. This description turns out to be useful in the study of q-characters of quiver Hecke algebras [Lec04]. In a seminal note [Gr94b], Grojnowski outlined the idea of constructing the positive part of the quantum loop algebra in terms of certain K-theoretic Hall algebra, as well as the relation with Lusztig's construction of the quantized enveloping algebra using perverse sheaves [L91]. As mentioned in [Gr94b], Feigin in an unpublished work also has a construction of quantum groups in terms of symmetric polynomial ring. In [Ne14] a K-theoretic shuffle algebra associated to the Jordan quiver has been studied. In particular, a comultiplication on this shuffle algebra has been constructed, making it a bialgebra.

In the present paper, we work in the generality that $\mathbb{G}$ is any 1-dimensional affine algebraic group or 1-parameter formal group. Similar results hold when $\mathbb{G}$ is not necessarily affine. In [YZ2], we study in details the case when $\mathbb{G}$ is the elliptic curve. We construct the sheafified shuffle algebra. The corresponding cohomology is the equivariant elliptic cohomology of [Gr94a, GKV95]. When $\mathbb{G}$ is the universal elliptic curve over the (open) moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}$ of genus 1 curves with 2 marked points, suitable rational sections of this algebra object coincides with Felder's elliptic quantum group [Fed94, GTL15]. The precise statements and proofs will be published in [YZ2].

1. The shuffle algebra

In this section, we recall the algebraic description of the cohomological Hall algebra $\mathcal{P}(Q, A)$ in terms of the shuffle algebra. The definition and the multiplication formula of shuffle algebra is recalled in § 1.2. Relation with the cohomological Hall algebra $\mathcal{P}(Q, A)$ is recalled in § 1.3.

1.1. **Formal group algebras.** We first fix the notations and general setup. Let R be a commutative ring of characteristic zero. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be either a 1-dimensional affine algebraic group or a 1-dimensional formal group over $\operatorname{Spec} R$. The coordinate ring of $\mathbb{G}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{S}$, in particular,

$\mathbf{S}$ is an R–algebra. Let $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathbf{S}$ be a local uniformizer of $\mathbb{G}$ at the identity section. Note that if $\mathbb{G}$ is an algebraic group, the expansion of the group multiplication of $\mathbb{G}$ with respect to the local uniformizer $\mathfrak{l}$ gives a formal group law.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the symmetric group of n letters, and let Λ_n be a lattice of rank-n, with a natural $\mathfrak{S}_n$-action. The coordinate ring of $\mathbb{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_n$ is denoted by $\mathbf{S}^n$. When $\mathbb{G}$ is an algebraic group, $\mathbf{S}^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{S}^{\otimes n}$; and $\mathbf{S}^n \cong \mathbf{S}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ if $\mathbb{G}$ is a formal group. The coordinate ring of $\mathbb{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_n/\mathfrak{S}_n$ is denoted by $\mathbf{S}^{(n)}$. Similarly, $\mathbf{S}^{(n)}$ is isomorphic to the ring of invariants $(\mathbf{S}^{\otimes n})^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ when $\mathbb{G}$ is an algebraic group, and $(\mathbf{S}^{\widehat{\otimes} n})^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ if $\mathbb{G}$ is a formal group.

For any group homomorphism $\lambda : \Lambda_n \to \mathbb{Z}$, let $\chi_\lambda : \mathbb{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_n \to \mathbb{G}$ be the induced morphism. For the local uniformizer $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathbf{S}$, we denote $\mathfrak{l}(\lambda) \in \mathbf{S}^n$ the pullback of $\mathfrak{l}$ along χ_λ. Choose a natural coordinate $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ of Λ_n, such that the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is permuting the coordinate $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. We have a group homomorphism $\lambda_{ij} : \Lambda_n \to \mathbb{Z}$, defined as $(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \mapsto x_i - x_j$. Let $\mathfrak{l}(x_i - x_j) \in \mathbf{S}^n$ be the function on $\mathbb{G}^n$ associated to this group homomorphism. Similarly the function $\mathfrak{l}(x_i - x_j - t_1 - t_2) \in \mathbf{S}^{n+2}$ is the function associated to the group homomorphism $\lambda_{ij,t_1,t_2} : \Lambda_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $(x_1, \cdots, x_n, t_1, t_2) \mapsto x_i - x_j - t_1 - t_2$.

1.2. **Shuffle algebra associated to a quiver.** Let Q be a quiver with vertex set I and arrow set H. We assume in this paper that I and H are finite sets. A dimension vector $v = (v^i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$of Q is a collection of natural numbers, one for each vertex. For each quiver Q, and each 1-dimensional affine algebraic group $\mathbb{G}$, we recall the definition of the shuffle algebra associated to Q and $\mathbb{G}$ defined in [YZ1], denoted by $\mathcal{SH}_Q^{\mathbb{G}}$, or simply $\mathcal{SH}$ if both Q and $\mathbb{G}$ are clear from the context.

Let R_{t_1,t_2} be the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_2$, where (t_1, t_2) are the coordinates of Λ_2. The shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}$ is an $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded R_{t_1,t_2}-algebra. As an R_{t_1,t_2}-module, we have

$$\mathcal{SH} = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{SH}_v,$$

where the degree v piece $\mathcal{SH}_v = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}^{(v)})$ is the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{G}^{(v)} := \mathbb{G}^2 \times \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{G}^{(v^i)}$. For two dimension vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, we consider $\mathcal{SH}_{v_1+v_2}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{SH}_{v_1} \otimes \mathcal{SH}_{v_2}$, where the algebra embedding is given by pulling back functions via the natural projection $\mathbb{G}^{(v_1)} \times \mathbb{G}^{(v_2)} \to \mathbb{G}^{(v_1+v_2)}$. The coordinates for $\mathbb{G}^{(v)}$ are denoted by $(t_1, t_2, (x_s^{(i)})_{i \in I, s \in [1,v^i]})$, where (t_1, t_2) are the coordinates for $\mathbb{G}^2$ and for each $i \in I$, the coordinates for $\mathbb{G}^{(v^i)}$ are $(x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{v^i}^{(i)})$.

In order to describe the multiplication of $\mathcal{SH}$, we introduce some notations. For any dimension vector $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, a partition of v is a pair of collections $A = (A^i)_{i \in I}$ and $B = (B^i)_{i \in I}$, where $A^i, B^i \subset [1, v^i]$ for any $i \in I$, satisfying the following conditions: $A^i \cap B^i = \emptyset$, and $A^i \cup B^i = [1, v^i]$. We use the notation $(A, B) \vdash v$ to mean (A, B) is a partition of v.

We also write $|A| = v$ if $|A^i| = v^i$ for each $i \in I$. For any two dimension vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$ such that $v_1 + v_2 = v$, we introduce the notation

$$\mathbf{P}(v_1, v_2) := \{(A, B) \vdash v \mid |A| = v_1, |B| = v_2\}.$$

There is a standard element (A_o, B_o) in $\mathbf{P}(v_1, v_2)$ with $A_o^i := [1, v_1^i]$, and $B_o^i := [v_1^i + 1, v_1^i + v_2^i]$ for any $i \in I$. This standard element will be denoted by $([1, v_1], [v_1 + 1, v])$ for short.

For each arrow $h \in H$, we denote by $\mathrm{in}(h)$ (resp. $\mathrm{out}(h)$) the incoming (resp. outgoing) vertex of h. Let h^* be the corresponding reversed arrows in the opposite quiver Q^{op}. We associate to (h, h^*) two integers, m_h and $m_{h^*} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assumption 1.1. *We consider specializations of t_1 and t_2 which are compatible with the integers $m(h), m(h^*)$ for any $h \in H$, in the sense that $t_1^{m(h)} t_2^{m(h^*)}$ is a constant, i.e., does not depend on $h \in H$.*

Remark 1.2. Two examples of the integers $m(h), m(h^*)$ satisfying Assumption 1.1 are the following.

(1) Let t_1 and t_2 are independent variables, but $m(h) = m(h^*) = 1$ for any $h \in H$.
(2) Specialize $t_1 = t_2 = \hbar/2$. For any pair of vertices i and j with arrows $h_1, \dots, h_a$ from i to j, the pairs of integers are $m_{h_p} = a + 2 - 2p$ and $m_{h_p^*} = -a + 2p$.

Consider the following two elements in the localization of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}^{(v_1)} \times_{\mathbb{G}^2} \mathbb{G}^{(v_2)})$. Recall that the ring $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}^{(v_1)} \times_{\mathbb{G}^2} \mathbb{G}^{(v_2)})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{S}^{(v_1)} \otimes_{R_{t_1,t_2}} \mathbf{S}^{(v_2)}$ if $\mathbb{G}$ is an algebraic group, and to $\mathbf{S}^{(v_1)} \widehat{\otimes}_{R_{t_1,t_2}} \mathbf{S}^{(v_2)}$ if $\mathbb{G}$ is a formal group. Define

$$\mathrm{fac}_1(x_A|x_B) := \prod_{i\in I} \prod_{s\in A^i} \prod_{t\in B^i} \frac{\mathfrak{l}(x_s^{(i)} - x_t^{(i)} + t_1 + t_2)}{\mathfrak{l}(x_t^{(i)} - x_s^{(i)})},$$

$$\mathrm{fac}_2(x_A|x_B) := \prod_{h\in H} \Big(\prod_{s\in A^{\mathrm{out}(h)}} \prod_{t\in B^{\mathrm{in}(h)}} \mathfrak{l}(x_t^{\mathrm{in}(h)} - x_s^{\mathrm{out}(h)} + m_h t_1) \prod_{s\in A^{\mathrm{in}(h)}} \prod_{t\in B^{\mathrm{out}(h)}} \mathfrak{l}(x_t^{\mathrm{out}(h)} - x_s^{\mathrm{in}(h)} + m_{h^*} t_2) \Big).$$

When $m_h = m_{h^*} = 1$, the $\mathrm{fac}_2(x_A|x_B)$ simplifies as

$$\mathrm{fac}_2(x_A|x_B) = \prod_{i,j\in I} \prod_{s\in A^i} \prod_{t\in B^j} \mathfrak{l}(x_t^{(j)} - x_s^{(i)} + t_1)^{a_{ij}} \mathfrak{l}(x_t^{(j)} - x_s^{(i)} + t_2)^{a_{ji}},$$

where a_{ij} is the number of arrows from i to j of quiver Q.

Let $\overline{A} := \#\{h \in H^{\mathrm{op}} \mid \mathrm{in}(h) = k, \mathrm{out}(h) = l\}$ be the adjacency matrix of the quiver Q, and let $\overline{C} := I - \overline{A}$. The multiplication of $f_1(x_{A_o}) \in \mathcal{SH}_{v_1}$ and $f_2(x_{B_o}) \in \mathcal{SH}_{v_2}$ is defined to be [1]

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_{A_o}) \star f_2(x_{B_o}) &= \sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(v_1,v_2)} (-1)^{(v_2,\overline{C}v_1)} \sigma\Big(f_1(x_{A_o}) \cdot f_2(x_{B_o}) \cdot \mathrm{fac}(x_{A_o}|x_{B_o}) \Big) \\ &= \sum_{(A,B)\in \mathbf{P}(v_1,v_2)} (-1)^{(v_2,\overline{C}v_1)} f_1(x_A) \cdot f_2(x_B) \cdot \mathrm{fac}(x_A|x_B), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathrm{fac}(x_A|x_B) := \mathrm{fac}_1(x_A|x_B) \cdot \mathrm{fac}_2(x_A|x_B)$ is an element in the localization of $\mathbf{S}^{(v_1)} \otimes \mathbf{S}^{(v_2)} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}^{(v_1)} \times \mathbb{G}^{(v_2)})$. Note that although $\mathrm{fac}(x_A|x_B)$ has a simple pole, the product $f_1(x_{A_o}) \star f_2(x_{B_o})$ is well-defined in $\mathbf{S}^{(v_1+v_2)}$.

For each $k \in I$, let e_k be the dimension vector valued 1 at vertex k and zero otherwise. We define the *spherical subalgebra* $\mathcal{SH}_Q^{\mathbb{G},\mathfrak{s}}$ to be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{SH}_Q^{\mathbb{G}}$ generated by $\mathcal{SH}_{e_k}$ as k varies in I.

Example 1.3. When $\mathbb{G}$ is a formal group whose coordinate ring is $\mathbf{S} = R[\![x]\!]$. The shuffle algebra can be identified as

$$\mathcal{SH}_Q^{\mathbb{G}} = \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} R[\![t_1,t_2]\!][\![x_s^{(i)}]\!]_{i\in I, s=1,\dots,v^i}^{\mathfrak{S}_v},$$

with the same multiplication formula (1).

[1] There is a discrepancy between the notation here and [YZ1]. The algebra denoted by $\mathcal{SH}$ in the present paper, i.e., the one whose multiplication has a sign $(-1)^{(v_2,\overline{C}v_1)}$ coming from the Euler-Ringel form, is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}$ in [YZ1]. We adapt this convention since the one without sign is not used in the present paper.

1.3. **Geometric interpretation.** The shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}}_Q$ defined above has a geometric interpretation. We consider equivariant oriented cohomology theory A in the sense of [CZZ14, § 2], [ZZ14, § 5.1], and [YZ1, § 1.2], which is an extension of the algebraic oriented cohomology [LM07] to the equivariant setting. Examples of which includes [HM13, Kr12, To99, Th93]. Assume there is an equivariant oriented cohomology theory A such that $A_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \mathbf{S}$.

Fix $V = \{V^i\}_{i\in I}$ an I-tuple of k-vector spaces with dimension vector $\dim(V^i) = v^i$. Let $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v) := \bigoplus_{h\in H} \mathrm{Hom}(V^{\mathrm{out}(h)}, V^{\mathrm{in}(h)})$ be the representation space of Q with dimension vector v and let $T^*\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ be the cotangent bundle of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$. The algebraic group $G_v := \prod_{i\in I}\mathrm{GL}(v^i)$ acts on $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ and $T^*\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)$ by conjugation. Let a be the number of arrows in Q from vertex i to j. We fix a numbering $h_1,\dots,h_a$ of the arrows in Q. The corresponding reversed arrows in Q^{op} are labelled by $h_1^*,\cdots,h_a^*$. For $h_p\in H$, and $B=(B_p)\in\mathrm{Hom}(V^i,V^j)$, $B^*=(B_p^*)\in\mathrm{Hom}(V^j,V^i)$, Define the $T=\mathbb{G}_m^2$–action by:

$$t_1\cdot B_p := t_1^{m_{h_p}}B_p,\ \ t_2\cdot B_p^* := t_2^{m_{h_p^*}}B_p^*.$$

As abelian groups, we have

$$\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}}_Q = \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} A_{G_v\times(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}})^2}(T^*\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v)).$$

There is a geometrically defined multiplication $m^S_{v_1,v_2}$ on $A_{G_v\times T}(T^*\mathrm{Rep}(Q,v))$ in [YZ1], such that, it coincides with the algebraically defined multiplication (1).

Remark 1.4. If R contains $\mathbb{Q}$, then any formal group $\mathbb{G}$ is isomorphic to the additive formal group $\mathbb{G}_a$. However, this does not imply that $(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}}, m^{\mathbb{G}}) \cong (\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}_a}, m^{\mathbb{G}_a})$, where $m^{\mathbb{G}}$ is the multiplication of $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}}$. Instead, there is an R-module isomorphism $\mathrm{ch}:\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}}\to\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}_a}$, which has the following property. For any $v_1,v_2\in\mathbb{N}^I$, there exists an element $\mathrm{Td}_{v_1,v_2}\in\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}_a}_{v_1}\otimes\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}_a}_{v_2}$, such that for any $f\otimes g\in\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}_a}_{v_1}\otimes\mathcal{SH}^{\mathbb{G}_a}_{v_2}$, we have

$$m^{\mathbb{G}_a}(\mathrm{ch}(f\otimes g)\cdot\mathrm{Td}_{v_1,v_2}) = \mathrm{ch}(m^{\mathbb{G}}(f\otimes g)).$$

The element Td_{v_1,v_2} can be chosen as $\mathrm{Td}_{v_1,v_2} = \frac{\mathrm{fac}^{\mathbb{G}}_{v_1,v_2}}{\mathrm{fac}^{\mathbb{G}_a}_{v_1,v_2}}$.

1.4. **The extended shuffle algebra.** Let $\mathcal{SH}^0 := \mathrm{Sym}_R(\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbf{S}^{(e_i)})$ be the symmetric algebra on $\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbf{S}^{(e_i)}$. Here $\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbf{S}^{(e_i)}$ is a vector subspace in the coordinate ring of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}} := \prod_{i\in I}\mathbb{G}$. In this subsection, we define the extended shuffle algebra using the $\mathcal{SH}^0$–action on $\mathcal{SH}$.

For any $(A,B)\vdash v$, let $\mathbb{G}^A$ be $\mathbb{G}^2\times\prod_{i\in I}\mathbb{G}^{(|A^i|)}$ and similarly we have $\mathbb{G}^B$. There is a natural projection

$$\mathbb{G}^A\times\mathbb{G}^B\to\mathbb{G}^{(v)}.$$

A function P on $\mathbb{G}^{(v)}$ will be denoted by $P(x_{A\cup B})$. Its pullback via the projection $\mathbb{G}^A\times_{\mathbb{G}^2}\mathbb{G}^B\to\mathbb{G}^{(v)}$ is denoted by $P(x_A\otimes x_B)$. For any $(A,B)\vdash v$, we introduce the following rational function on $\mathbb{G}^A\times_{\mathbb{G}^2}\mathbb{G}^B$

$$\widehat{\Phi}(z_B|z_A) := \frac{\mathrm{fac}(z_B|z_A)}{\mathrm{fac}(z_A|z_B)}. \tag{2}$$

In the following special case, the function $\widehat{\Phi}(z_B|z_A)$ has a simple formula, which appears in [YZ1]. For $k\in I$, we consider a special $(A,B)\vdash v$ with $B^k=\{1\}$, $A^k=[2,v^k]$, and $B^i=\emptyset$, $A^i=[1,v^i]$ for $i\neq k$. In this case $\widehat{\Phi}(z_B|z_A) = \frac{\mathrm{fac}(z_{e_k}|z_A)}{\mathrm{fac}(z_A|z_{e_k})}$ will be denoted by $\widehat{\Phi}_k(z,v)$. Recall that a_{ik} is the number of arrows in H from i to k. Let c_{ik} be the (i,k)–entry of the Cartan matrix of the quiver

Q. That is $c_{ik} = -a_{ik} - a_{ki}$ if $k \neq i$, and 2 if $k = i$. If $\mathfrak{l}$ is an odd function, then $\widehat{\Phi}_k(z, v)$ can be simplified as follows.

$$\widehat{\Phi}_k(z,v) = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{i\in I\setminus\{k\}} \prod_{j=1}^{v^i} \frac{\mathfrak{l}(z-x_j^{(i)}-t_1)^{a_{ik}}\mathfrak{l}(z-x_j^{(i)}-t_2)^{a_{ki}}}{\mathfrak{l}(z-x_j^{(i)}+t_2)^{a_{ik}}\mathfrak{l}(z-x_j^{(i)}+t_1)^{a_{ki}}}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{v^k} \frac{\mathfrak{l}(z-x_j^{(k)}+t_1+t_2)}{\mathfrak{l}(z-x_j^{(k)}-t_1-t_2)}, \\ \qquad\qquad \text{when } m(h) = m(h^*) = 1 \text{ as in Remark 1.2(1);} \\ (-1)^{v^k} \prod_{i\in I} \prod_{t=1}^{v^i} \frac{\mathfrak{l}(x_t^{(i)}-z-(c_{ki})\frac{\hbar}{2})}{\mathfrak{l}(z-x_t^{(i)}-(c_{ki})\frac{\hbar}{2})}, \text{when } m(h) \text{ and } m(h^*) \text{ are as in Remark 1.2(2).} \end{cases}$$

We define a $\mathcal{SH}^0$ action on $\mathcal{SH}$ as follows. For $k \in I$, consider the rational function $H_k(w) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{l}(h^{(k)})-\mathfrak{l}(w)}$ on $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}} \times \mathbb{G}$, where $h^{(k)}$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)}$ and w is the coordinate of the second $\mathbb{G}$-factor. Expanding $H_k(w)$ with respect of the local uniformizer $l(w)$ of the second $\mathbb{G}$-factor, we can consider $H_k(w)$ as an element in the completion $\mathbf{S}^{(e_k)}[\![w]\!]$, where $\mathbf{S}^{(e_k)} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)})$. For any $g \in \mathcal{SH}_v$, and any $k \in I$, we define

$$H_k(z) g H_k(z)^{-1} := g\widehat{\Phi_k}(z, v).$$

Lemma 1.5 ([YZ1]). *(1) The action of $\mathcal{SH}^0$ on $\mathcal{SH}$ is well-defined.*
(2) Furthermore, the action of $\mathcal{SH}^0$ on $\mathcal{SH}$ is by algebra homomorphisms.

Definition 1.6. Define the extended shuffle algebra associated to $\mathbb{G}$ and Q to be the algebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}} = \mathcal{SH}^0 \ltimes \mathcal{SH}_Q^{\mathbb{G}}$. The spherical subalgebra in the extended shuffle algebra is $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}} = \mathcal{SH}^0 \ltimes \mathcal{SH}_Q^{\mathbb{G},\mathfrak{s}}$.

The following is the relation between the shuffle algebra and the CoHA, which is a consequence of [YZ1, Theorem C].

Proposition 1.7. *There is an algebra homomorphism from the extended CoHA $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{e}}(Q, A)$ to the extended shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}$, which induces an isomorphism*

$$\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}(Q, A) \cong \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}$$

where $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}(Q, A)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}(Q, A)$ by the torsion part over R_{t_1,t_2}.

Remark 1.8. Assume Q is a quiver without edge-loops. For simplicity, we take the formal completion of $\mathbb{G}$ in the case when $\mathbb{G}$ is an algebraic group. Assume $\mathbb{G}$ comes from an oriented cohomology theory. Then there is a natural cohomological grading on the base ring R so that the natural classifying map from the Lazard ring to R is homogeneous of degree zero. Let $\overline{R}$ be the image of the Lazard ring in R, which is non-negatively graded. There is an augmentation map to the zeroth degree piece $\overline{R} \to R^0$. For example, when $\mathbb{G}$ is multiplicative, the cohomology theory is the K-theory, in which case $R = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{\pm}]$ where β is the Bott periodicity; The image of the Lazard ring is $\mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}]$, which has an augmentation map $\mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \to \mathbb{Z}$ sending β^{-1} to 0. On the quotient, the image of the formal group law is additive. Therefore, on this special fiber of $\operatorname{Spec}\overline{R}$, the algebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}|_{t_1=t_2=0}$ becomes $U(\mathfrak{b}[\![u]\!])$ as an algebra, by [YZ1, Theorem D], where $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the Borel subalgebra. In this sense, we say $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}$ is a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{b}[\![u]\!])$.

2. The comultiplication

In this section, we construct the map Δ on a suitable localization of the extended shuffle algebra

$$\Delta : \mathcal{SH}_v^{\mathfrak{e}} \to \sum_{v_1+v_2=v} (\mathcal{SH}_{v_1}^{\mathfrak{e}} \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} \mathcal{SH}_{v_2}^{\mathfrak{e}})_{loc}.$$

Here *loc* means the localization away from the union of null-divisors of $\operatorname{fac}(x_A, x_B)$ over all $(A, B) \vdash v$. The ideal of this divisor in $\mathcal{SH}_v$ is denoted by I_{fac}.

On the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{SH}^0$, the map Δ is given by

$$\Delta(H_k(w)) = H_k(w) \otimes H_k(w), k \in I.$$

This is understood in the following way. Recall that $H_k(w) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{l}(h^{(k)})-\mathfrak{l}(w)}$ is a rational function on $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}} \times \mathbb{G}$. The function $H_k(w) \otimes H_k(w)$ is the rational function on $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}} \times \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}} \times \mathbb{G}$ defined as the product $p_1^*(H_k(w)) \cdot p_2^*(H_k(w))$, where $p_i : \mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G}$ is the natural projection contracting the i-th $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)}$-factor, for $i = 1, 2$ and p_i^* is the pullback along the projection p_i. Here w is the coordinate of the last $\mathbb{G}$-factor. Expanding with respect to $\mathfrak{l}(w)$, we have $H_k(w) \otimes H_k(w)$ is a well-defined element in the completion $\mathcal{SH}^0 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{SH}^0[\![w]\!]$.

The Δ on $\mathcal{SH}$ is given by

$$\Delta(P(x)) = \sum_{\{v_1+v_2=v\}} (-1)^{(v_2, \overline{C}v_1)} \frac{H_{[1,v_1]}(x_{[v_1+1,v]}) P(x_{[1,v_1]} \otimes x_{[v_1+1,v]})}{\mathrm{fac}(x_{[v_1+1,v]}|x_{[1,v_1]})}, \tag{3}$$

which is a rational function on $(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}} \times \mathbb{G}^{(v_1)}) \times (\mathbb{G}^{(v_2)})$. Here $H_A(x_B) := \prod_{k \in I} \prod_{j \in B^k} H_k(x_j^{(k)})$. The function $H_k(x_j^{(k)})$ is defined by pulling back the function $H_k(w)$ of $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G}$ along the identification $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G}_j \cong \mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G}$. Note that in the inclusion $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)} \times \mathbb{G}_j \subset (\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}} \times \mathbb{G}^{(v_1)}) \times (\mathbb{G}^{(v_2)})$, the $\mathbb{G}^{(e_k)}$-factor lies in the $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{G}}$-factor, and the $\mathbb{G}_j$-factor lies in the $\mathbb{G}^{(v_2)}$-factor. Expending each $H_k(x_j^{(k)})$ with respect to $\mathfrak{l}(x_j^{(k)})$, we get $H_{[1,v_1]}(x_{[v_1+1,v]})$ as a well-defined element in the completion

$$\sum_{v_1+v_2=v} \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{v_1} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{v_2}.$$

In particular, when $v = e_k$ for some $k \in I$, either A or B is empty, and $P(x_{A \cup B}) = f(x_k)$ a function with only one variable $x^{(k)}$. We have

$$\Delta(f(x^{(k)})) = H_k(x^{(k)}) \otimes f(x^{(k)}) + f(x^{(k)}) \otimes 1. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2.1. *The map Δ defined above satisfies the following:*

(1) $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}}) \circ \Delta$*;*
(2) $\Delta(P \star Q) = \Delta(P) \star \Delta(Q)$*;*
(3) Let $\epsilon : \mathcal{SH} \to R$ be the map by $\epsilon(\mathcal{SH}^0) = R$, $\mathrm{id} : \mathcal{SH}_0 = R$, and $\mathcal{SH}_v \mapsto 0$ for $v \neq 0$. Then, $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}} = (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}}) \circ \Delta$.

Proof. Proof of (1): For any $P \in \mathcal{SH}$, for any A, B, C, the projection of $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(P))$ into the component $\mathcal{SH}_A \otimes \mathcal{SH}_B \otimes \mathcal{SH}_C$ is denoted by $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(P))_{A,B,C}$. Similarly, we have $(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Delta(P))_{A,B,C}$. With this notation, (1) becomes

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(P))_{A,B,C} = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Delta(P))_{A,B,C}.$$

Similarly, the projection of $\Delta(P)$ into the component $\mathcal{SH}_A \otimes \mathcal{SH}_{B \cup C}$ is denoted by $\Delta(P)_{A,B \cup C}$. It is clear that we only need to show (1) after dropping the sign $(-1)^{(v_2, \overline{C}v_1)}$ in Δ. Applying $\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta$ to $\Delta(P)_{A,B \cup C}$, in $\mathcal{SH}_A \otimes \mathcal{SH}_B \otimes \mathcal{SH}_C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(P)_{A,B \cup C}) =& (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \left(\frac{H_A(z_{B \cup C}) P(z_A \otimes z_{B \cup C})}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{B \cup C}|z_A)} \right)_{A,B,C} \\ =& \frac{H_A(z_B) \otimes H_A(z_C) \otimes H_B(z_C) P(z_A \otimes z_B \otimes z_C)}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{B \cup C}|z_A)\, \mathrm{fac}(z_C|z_B)}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id}$ to $\Delta(P)_{A\cup B,C}$, in $\mathcal{SH}_A\otimes\mathcal{SH}_B\otimes\mathcal{SH}_C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(\Delta(P)_{A\cup BC}) =&(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\left(\frac{H_{A\cup B}(z_C)P(z_{A\cup B}\otimes z_C)}{\mathrm{fac}(z_C|z_{A\cup B})}\right)_{A,B,C}\\ =&\frac{H_A(z_C)H_B(z_C)H_A(z_B)P(z_A\otimes z_B\otimes z_C)}{\mathrm{fac}(z_C|z_{A\cup B})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_B|z_A)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}}\otimes\Delta)\circ\Delta=(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}})\circ\Delta$, since

$$\mathrm{fac}(z_{B\cup C}|z_A)\,\mathrm{fac}(z_C|z_B)=\mathrm{fac}(z_C|z_{A\cup B})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_B|z_A).$$

Proof of (2): Note that we have the same sign $(-1)^{(v_2,\overline{C}v_1)}$ in both multiplication $\star$ (1) and comutiplication Δ in (3) of $\mathcal{SH}$. To show (2), it is clear that we could drop the sign $(-1)^{(v_2,\overline{C}v_1)}$ in both $\star$ and Δ.

We need the following notations. For a pair of dimension vector (v_1',v_2'), with $v_1'+v_2'=v$, for $(A,B)\in\mathbf{P}(v_1,v_2)$, we write

$$A_1:=A\cap[1,v_1'],A_2:=A\cap[v_1'+1,v_1'+v_2'],B_1:=B\cap[1,v_1'],B_2:=B\cap[v_1'+1,v_1'+v_2'].$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta(P\star Q)\\ =&\sum_{(A,B)\in\mathbf{P}(v_1,v_2)}\Delta(P(z_A)\cdot Q(z_B)\cdot\mathrm{fac}(z_A,z_B))\\ =&\sum_{(A,B)\in\mathbf{P}(v_1,v_2)}\sum_{v_1'+v_2'=v}\frac{H_{A_1}(z_{A_2})H_{B_1}(z_{B_2})P(z_{A_1}\otimes z_{A_2})Q(z_{B_1}\otimes z_{B_2})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_A|z_B)}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{[v_1'+1,v_1'+v_2']}|z_{[1,v_1']})}\\ =&\sum_{v_1'+v_2'=v}\sum_{(A,B)\in\mathbf{P}(v_1,v_2)}\frac{H_{A_1}(z_{A_2})P(z_{A_1}\otimes z_{A_2})H_{B_1}(z_{B_2})Q(z_{B_1}\otimes z_{B_2})}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_2\sqcup B_2}|z_{A_1\sqcup B_1})}\widehat{\Phi}(z_{B_2}|z_{A_1})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_1\sqcup A_2}|z_{B_1\sqcup B_2}).\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is obtained from the identity $H_{B_1}(z_{B_2})P(z_{A_1})=P(z_{A_1})H_{B_1}(z_{B_2})\widehat{\Phi}(z_{B_2}|z_{A_1})$. Recall that by definition $\widehat{\Phi}(z_{B_2}|z_{A_1})=\frac{\mathrm{fac}(z_{B_2}|z_{A_1})}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_1}|z_{B_2})}$. Plugging the equality

$$\frac{\widehat{\Phi}(z_{B_2}|z_{A_1})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_1\sqcup A_2}|z_{B_1\sqcup B_2})}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_2\sqcup B_2}|z_{A_1\sqcup B_1})}=\frac{\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_1}|z_{B_1})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_2}|z_{B_2})}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_2}|z_{A_1})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_{B_2}|z_{B_1})}$$

into the formula of $\Delta(P\star Q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(P\star Q)=&\sum_{v_1'+v_2'=v}\sum_{(A,B)\in\mathbf{P}(v_1,v_2)}\frac{H_{A_1}(z_{A_2})P(z_{A_1}\otimes z_{A_2})}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_2}|z_{A_1})}\frac{H_{B_1}(z_{B_2})Q(z_{B_1}\otimes z_{B_2})}{\mathrm{fac}(z_{B_2}|z_{B_1})}\,\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_1}|z_{B_1})\,\mathrm{fac}(z_{A_2}|z_{B_2})\\ =&\Delta(P)\star\Delta(Q).\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (3): We have

$$(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}})\circ\Delta(P)=(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}})\circ\Delta(P)_{0,v}=(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})(H_0(x_{[1,v]})\otimes P)=P.$$

Similarly, $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{SH}}\otimes\epsilon)\circ\Delta(P)=P$. This completes the proof. □

Note that formula (4) of $\Delta(f)$ has no denominators, for $f\in\mathcal{SH}_{e_k}$. In particular, $\Delta(\mathcal{SH}_{e_k})\subset\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}\,\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}$. Consequently, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\Delta(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s}}_v)\subset\sum_{v_1+v_2=v}\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{v_1}\,\widehat{\otimes}\,\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}_{v_2}\,.$$

In other words, the map Δ is well-defined on the spherical subalgebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ without passing to localization. Therefore, we get the following direct corollary to Theorem 2.1. It implies Theorem A, taking Proposition 1.7 into account.

Corollary 2.2. *The map $\Delta : \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}} \to \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ is a well-defined algebra homomorphism which is coassociative, making $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}$ a bialgebra with counit $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}} \to R$ being the restriction of $\epsilon : \mathcal{SH} \to R$.*

3. A bialgebra pairing

The aim of this section is to define the Drinfeld double of the extended shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c}}$. For this purpose, we introduce a bialgebra pairing on $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Recall that for a bialgebra $(A, \star, \Delta)$ with multiplication $\star$, and coproduct Δ, the *Drinfeld double* of the bialgebra A is $DA = A \otimes A^{coop}$ as a vector space endowed with suitable multiplication. Here A^{coop} is A as an algebra but with the opposite comultiplication. If $\dim(A)$ is infinite, in order to define DA as a bialgebra, we need a *non-degenerate bialgebra pairing*

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : A \otimes A \to R,$$

such that

$$(a \star b, c) = (a \otimes b, \Delta(c)) \text{ and } (c, a \star b) = (\Delta(c), a \otimes b) \text{ for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

The bialgebra structure of DA is uniquely determined by the following properties (see, e.g., [DJX, § 2.4]): $A^- = A^{coop} \otimes 1$ and $A^+ = 1 \otimes A$ are both sub-bialgebras of DA; write $\Delta(a) = a_1 \otimes a_2$, then,

$$a_1^- \star b_2^+ \cdot (a_2, b_1) = b_1^+ \star a_2^- \cdot (b_2, a_1), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A, \tag{5}$$

where $a^- = a \otimes 1$ and $b^+ = 1 \otimes b$.

However, a natural pairing in terms of residue is non-degenerate only on an adèle version of $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}}$, which we introduce here.

3.1. **Scalar product on adèle ring.** In this section we work in the following setup. Take $R = \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{G}$ an algebraic group. We fix a smooth compactification $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ and fix a meromorphic section ω of $T^*\overline{\mathbb{G}}$, such that ω is translation-invariant in $\mathbb{G}$ and non-vanishing anywhere on $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$. Denote by F the field of rational functions of $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$, O_x the local ring of $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$ at a closed point $x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}$, and K_x the local field. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the restricted product $\prod'_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}} K_x$, i.e., an element in $\mathbb{A}$ is a collection of rational functions $\{r_x\}_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}}$, so that $r_x \in \mathcal{O}_x$ except for finitely many x. We will call $\mathbb{A}$ the ring of adèles.[2] We consider a $\mathbb{C}$-valued scalar product on $\mathbb{A}$

$$(u, v) := \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x(\omega \cdot u_x \cdot v_{-x}),$$

which is a modification of the one used in [D86, Example 3.4]. Here $-x$ is the group inverse of x extended to $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$.

We consider the following extension of the definition of adèle ring on $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^{(n)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}$, we have $(x, \dots, x) \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}^n$, the complete local ring at which is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_x^n$. Let K_x^n be the localization of $\mathcal{O}_x^n$ at the divisor $\mathfrak{S}_n \cdot (\{x\} \times \overline{\mathbb{G}}^{n-1})$. Note that ω induces an n-form $\omega^n := \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \omega_i$ on $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^n$, where ω_i is the pullback of ω to $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^n$ via the i-th projection. Note that for any $f \in K_x^n$, the residue of $f \cdot \omega^n$ at $(x, \dots, x)$ is well-defined, denoted by $\mathrm{Res}_x f\omega$. For any n-form $\eta \in H^0(U - D, \Omega^n)$ in a neighborhood of certain divisor $D \subset U$, see [GH78, p.650] for the definition

[2] In literature this ring is also called the ring of repartitions or pre-adèles, where the completion of this ring is called the ring of adèles. As we do not need to consider any topology on this ring, we will adapt the present terminology.

of the residue $\mathrm{Res}(\eta)$. Note that in the definition, η could have a higher order pole along the divisor $D \subset U$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $f \in K_x^n$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Res}_x f\omega = \mathrm{Res}_x \sigma(f)\omega.$$

Proof. Recall that by [GH78, p.650], there is a real n-cycle Γ so that $\mathrm{Res}_x f\omega = \int_\Gamma f \cdot \omega$. For an element $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $\sigma : U \to U$ be the map induced by the $\mathfrak{S}_n$ action on U. We have

$$\int_\Gamma f \cdot \omega = \int_{\sigma(\Gamma)} \sigma(f \cdot \omega).$$

The lemma follows from the facts that $\sigma(\omega) = \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\omega$, and $\sigma(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ with orientation differs by $\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)$. □

Lemma 3.2. *The pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on K_x^n, sending $f, g \in K_x^n$ to $\mathrm{Res}_x(f \cdot g)\omega$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ be the function on $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^n$, where $x_i = p_i^*(\mathfrak{l})$ is the pullback of the local uniformizer $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$ along the i-th projection $p_i : \overline{\mathbb{G}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{G}}$. Assume $f \neq 0$, then there is an integer l so that $X^l f\omega$ has pole of order 1 along the divisor of X. For any $g \in K_x^n$, there is some N large enough, such that $X^N g$ is regular. We may only work with regular g. By [GH78, p.659], if $\mathrm{Res}_x X^l fg\omega = 0$ for any g, then $X^l f\omega$ is regular along X, which contradicts with the assumption that $X^l f\omega$ has pole of order 1. □

Let $\mathbb{A}^n$ be the restricted product of K_x^n over all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}$, and let $\mathbb{A}^{(n)} = (\mathbb{A}^n)^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ be the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-invariant part. Similarly, for any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, we can define the adèle ring $\mathbb{A}^{(v)} = \prod_{i\in I} \mathbb{A}^{(v^i)}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^{(v)}$. For any $f \in \mathbb{A}^{(v)}$ and any $x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}$, the x-component of f is denoted by f_x.

We define the adèle version of the shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}_\mathbb{A} = \bigoplus_{v\in\mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},v}$. For any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, $\mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},v}$ is the localization of the adèle ring $\mathbb{A}^{(v)}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^{(v)}$, localized at I_{fac}, where I_{fac} is the ideal of the union of the null-divisors of $\mathrm{fac}(x_A|x_B)$ over all $(A, B) \vdash v$. The action of $\mathcal{SH}^0$ on $\mathcal{SH}$ induces an action of $\mathcal{SH}^0$ on $\mathcal{SH}_\mathbb{A}$. Therefore, we also have an adèle version of extended shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}^\mathfrak{e}_\mathbb{A} = \mathcal{SH}^0 \rtimes \mathcal{SH}_\mathbb{A}$. The bialgebra structure on $\mathcal{SH}^\mathfrak{e}$ induces a bialgebra structure on $\mathcal{SH}^\mathfrak{e}_\mathbb{A}$. Let $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}_\mathbb{A} \subset \mathcal{SH}^\mathfrak{e}_\mathbb{A}$ be the spherical subalgebra.

Lemma 3.3. *The subalgebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}_\mathbb{A}$ is a sub-bialgebra.*

Proof. Since Δ is an algebra homomorphism, it suffices to show that $\Delta(f) \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}_\mathbb{A}$ for any generator $f \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}_{\mathbb{A},e_i}$ with $i \in I$. The latter follows from the formula of Δ (4). □

Let $|A|! = \prod_{i\in I} |A^i|!$ and we introduce the notation.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{fac}(x_A) :=& \prod_{i\in I} \prod_{\{s,t\in A^i | s\neq t\}} \frac{\mathfrak{l}(x_s^{(i)} - x_t^{(i)} + t_1 + t_2)}{\mathfrak{l}(x_t^{(i)} - x_s^{(i)})} \cdot \\ & \cdot \prod_{h\in H} \Big(\prod_{s\in A^{\mathrm{out}(h)}} \prod_{t\in A^{\mathrm{in}(h)}} \mathfrak{l}(x_t^{\mathrm{in}(h)} - x_s^{\mathrm{out}(h)} + m_h t_1) \prod_{s\in A^{\mathrm{in}(h)}} \prod_{t\in A^{\mathrm{out}(h)}} \mathfrak{l}(x_t^{\mathrm{out}(h)} - x_s^{\mathrm{in}(h)} + m_{h^*} t_2)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$ and any $f, g \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}_{\mathbb{A},v}$, the vanishing locus of I_{fac} is not included in the polar divisor of $\frac{f\cdot g}{\mathrm{fac}(x_A)}$.*

Proof. This is clear if $t_1 \neq 0$ and $t_2 \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $t_1 = 0$. Then $f, g \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A},v}$ implies that f, g are product of elements in $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A},e_i}$ for $i \in I$. By the multiplication formula (1) and the formula of $\mathrm{fac}(x_A)$, the vanishing order of $f \cdot g$ is at least the vanishing order of $\mathrm{fac}(x_A)$ along I_{fac}. □

We define a non-degenerate bialgebra pairing on the adèle shuffle algebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$

$$(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}} \to \mathbb{C}$$

as follows.

- For $f \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A},v}$, and $g \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A},w}$, we define $(f,g) = 0$ if $v \neq w$.
- For $h \in \mathcal{SH}^0$, and $f \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathbb{A}}$, we define $(h,f) = 0$.
- For $H_k(u) \in \mathcal{SH}^0[\![u]\!]$, we define $(H_k(u), H_k(w)) = \frac{\mathrm{fac}(u|w)}{\mathrm{fac}(w|u)}$ for any $k \in I$.

Note that in particular for $H_k(u) \in \mathcal{SH}^0[\![u]\!]$ we have $(1, H_k(u)) = 1$.

For any $i \in I$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},e_i}$, we follow Drinfeld [D86] and define

$$(f,g) := \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x (f_x \cdot g_{-x} \cdot \omega).$$

In general, for $f, g \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A},v}$, we define the pairing (f,g) to be

$$(f,g) := \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x \Big(\frac{f(x_A) \cdot g(-x_A)}{|A|! \,\mathrm{fac}(x_A)} \omega \Big).$$

Here we consider the x-component of $\frac{f(x_A)\cdot g(-x_A)}{|A|!\,\mathrm{fac}(x_A)}$ as a function on $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^v$. Lemma 3.4 implies that the x-component of $\frac{f(x_A)\cdot g(-x_A)}{|A|!\,\mathrm{fac}(x_A)}$ is in K^v_x, and hence the residue is well-defined.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume the numbers m_h, m_{h^*} associated to $h \in H$ are as in Remark 1.2(2). On $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$, the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a super-symmetric non-degenerate bialgebra pairing.*

This theorem is proved through the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *The pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$ is super-symmetric, i.e., (f,g) equals (g,f) upto a sign.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g) &= \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x \Big(\frac{f(x_A) \cdot g(-x_A)}{|A|! \,\mathrm{fac}(x_A)} \omega(x_A) \Big) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x \Big(\frac{f(-x_A) \cdot g(x_A)}{|A|! \,\mathrm{fac}(x_A)} \omega(-x_A) \Big),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality used the fact that $\mathrm{fac}(x_A) = \mathrm{fac}(-x_A)$ under the assumption of Remark 1.2(2). Note that ω and its pullback under group inverse only differ by a sign, the above is equal to (g,f) upto sign. □

Lemma 3.7. *The above super-symmetric pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. By symmetry, we need to show that if $(f,g) = 0$, for any $g \in \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$, then $f = 0$. This follows from Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.8. *The above super-symmetric non-degenerate pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$ has the property*

$$(a \star b, c) = (a \otimes b, \Delta(c)).$$

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$ be two dimension vectors with $v = v_1 + v_2$. We need to show $(f_1 \star f_2, P) = (f_1 \otimes f_2, \Delta(P))$, for $f_1 \in \mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},v_1}, f_2 \in \mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},v_2}, P \in \mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},v}$, since $(\mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},v}, \mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A},w}) = 0$, if $v \neq w$. Let $(A_o, B_o) = ([1, v_1], [v_1 + 1, v])$ be the standard element in $\mathbf{P}(v_1, v_2)$. By definition, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{(A,B)\in\mathbf{P}(v_1,v_2)} \Big(f_1(x_A)\cdot f_2(x_B)\cdot \mathrm{fac}(x_A|x_B), P\Big) = \Big(f_1(x_{A_o})\otimes f_2(x_{B_o}), \frac{H_{A_o}(x_{B_o})P(x_{A_o}\otimes x_{B_o})}{\mathrm{fac}(x_{B_o}|x_{A_o})}\Big). \tag{6}$$

Using Lemma 3.1, the left hand side is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x \sum_{\{(A,B)\}} \Big(\frac{f_1(x_A)\cdot f_2(x_B)\cdot \mathrm{fac}(x_A|x_B)\cdot P(-x_A,-x_B)}{|A\cup B|!\,\mathrm{fac}(x_{A\cup B})}\Big) w_{A\cup B} \\
=&\sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x \Big(\frac{f_1(x_{A_o})\cdot f_2(x_{B_o})\cdot \mathrm{fac}(x_{A_o}|x_{B_o})\cdot P(-x_{A_o},-x_{B_o})}{|A_o|!|B_o|!\,\mathrm{fac}(x_{A_o\cup B_o})}\Big) w_{A_o\cup B_o}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the equality $H_{A_o}(x_{B_o})P(x_{A_o}\otimes x_{B_o}) = P(x_{A_o}\otimes x_{B_o})H_{A_o}(x_{B_o})\widehat{\Phi}(x_{B_o}|x_{A_o})$, the right hand side of (6) is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(f_1(x_{A_o})\otimes f_2(x_{B_o}), \frac{P(x_{A_o}\otimes x_{B_o})H_{A_o}(x_{B_o})}{\mathrm{fac}(x_{A_o}|x_{B_o})}\Big) \\
=&\sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x \frac{f_1(x_{A_o})}{|A_o|!\,\mathrm{fac}(x_{A_o})}\cdot\frac{f_2(x_{B_o})}{|B_o|!\,\mathrm{fac}(x_{B_o})}\cdot\frac{P(-x_{A_o},-x_{B_o})}{\mathrm{fac}(-x_{A_o}|-x_{B_o})}) w_{A_o}w_{B_o} \\
=&\sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Res}_x \Big(\frac{f_1(x_{A_o})\cdot f_2(x_{B_o})\cdot \mathrm{fac}(x_{A_o}|x_{B_o})\cdot P(-x_{A_o},-x_{B_o})}{|A_o|!|B_o|!\,\mathrm{fac}(x_{A_o\cup B_o})}\Big) w_{A_o\cup B_o},
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $(1, h(w)) = 1$ and $\mathrm{fac}(-x_{A_o}|-x_{B_o}) = \mathrm{fac}(x_{B_o}|x_{A_o})$ under assumption of Remark 1.2(2). Therefore, the equality (6) holds. This completes the proof. □

3.2. **Quantization of Manin triples.** Using the non-degenerate bilinear pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)$, we form the Drinfeld double of the bialgebra $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$, denoted by $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}})$. Again following the idea of Drinfeld, we consider a subalgebra in the Drinfeld double $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}})$.

Assume $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{A}$ is an isotropic $\mathbb{C}$-subring such that $\mathbb{A} \cong F \oplus \Lambda$. Let $S \subset \overline{\mathbb{G}}$ be a non-empty finite subset. Let $\mathbb{A}_S$ be the adèle ring without x-component for $x \in S$. Let F_S be the subring

$$\{a \in F \mid \mathrm{im}(a) \in \mathbb{A}_S \text{ lies in } \mathrm{im}(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{A}_S.\}$$

For each $i \in I$, let $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,S} \subseteq \mathcal{SH}_{e_i,\mathbb{A}}$ be the image of F_S under the isomorphism $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}$.

We consider the subalgebra of $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}})$, generated by $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,S}$, $\mathcal{SH}^{coop}_{e_i,S}$ for $i \in I$, and $\mathcal{SH}^0 \otimes (\mathcal{SH}^0)^{coop}$. This subalgebra is denoted by $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S)$. This subalgebra is closed under comultiplication, hence a bialgebra. Let $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_{\mathbb{A}}$ generated by $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,S}$ and $\mathcal{SH}^0$. Clearly this is a sub-bialgebra of $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S)$. Similarly we have a sub-bialgebra $(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S)^{coop}$. As a vector space, we have

$$D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S) \cong \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S \otimes (\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S)^{coop}.$$

One example of $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S)$, when $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_a$ is studied in § 4, which is related to the Yangian. From [Gr94b], it is expected that when $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_m$, $\omega = \frac{1}{x}dx$ with x being the natural coordinate of $\mathbb{A}^1 \supset \mathbb{G}_m$, and $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$ consists of $0, \infty$, the algebra $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}}_S)$ should be related to the quantum loop algebra. However, the proof of this statement involves calculation of non-standard generators of the quantum loop algebra, we postpone it to later investigation.

Example 3.9. It is equally interesting to consider example when $\mathbb{G}$ is beyond the additive, multiplicative, and elliptic ones. For example, let $R=\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$, and $F(u,v)=u+v-\beta uv$ be a formal group law over R. An OCT with this formal group law is the connective K-theory, denoted by CK. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}(Q,CK)$ be the spherical extended preprojective CoHA with $A=CK$. Then, $D(\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}(Q,CK))$ can be considered as a family of algebras over $\operatorname{Spec} R=\mathbb{A}^1$, whose generic fiber is $U_q(L\mathfrak{g}_Q)$, and the special fiber is $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. This recovers a classical theorem of Drinfeld that the quantum loop algebra degenerates to the Yangian.

There is a hyperbolic formal group law $(F(v,u)=\frac{v+u-\mu_1uv}{1+\mu_2uv},\mathbb{Z}[\mu_1^{\pm},\mu_2^{\pm}])$ studied in [LZ14]. This formal group law comes from generic singular locus of the Weierstrass elliptic curve. Generically, this formal group law is multiplicative, and at the special fiber the formal group law is additive. Therefore, let A be the OCT with this formal group law, then $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}(Q,A)$ should provide another degeneration of $U_q^{\geq 0}(L\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ to $Y_q^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. However, the hyperbolic formal group law does not specialize to the one associated to connective K-theory. Hence, this degeneration is different than the one constructed by Drinfeld.

When $A=\Omega$ is the algebraic cobordism of [LM07], the formal group law is the universal formal group law over the Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$. It is known that the Lazard ring consists of Chern numbers of smooth projective varieties. We have ${}^{\Omega}\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}$ acts on ${}^{\Omega}\mathcal{M}(w)$. The eigen-values of ${}^{\Omega}\mathcal{P}^{0}$ on ${}^{\Omega}\mathcal{M}(w)$ are given by Chern numbers of smooth projective varieties. We expect this observation to be of geometric applications.

Another potentially interesting OCT is the Morava K-theory, whose formal group law is the Lubin-Tate formal group law. However, as the coefficient ring of the Lubin-Tate formal group law has positive characteristic, we will not study this example in the present paper.

4. Yangian as a Drinfeld double

In this section we assume the group $\mathbb{G}$ is the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$. We assume Q has no edge-loops, and for each $h\in H$ the numbers m_h and m_{h^*} are as in Remark 1.2. In this case, we recover the Yangian using the construction of Drinfeld double of the cohomological Hall algebra.

4.1. **The Yangian.** The smooth compactification of $\mathbb{G}_a$ is $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathfrak{l}=x$ be the natural coordinate function of $\mathbb{G}_a$. The meromorphic section ω can be taken as $\omega=dx$, which has an order 2 pole at $\infty\in\mathbb{G}_a$. Let $S=\{\infty\}\subset\mathbb{P}^1$, and $\Lambda=(m_\infty\times\prod_{x\in X\setminus S}O_x)\subset\mathbb{A}$, where m_∞ is the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. Then, $F_S\cong\mathbb{C}[x]$, the ring of regular functions on $\mathbb{G}_a$. Hence, $\mathcal{SH}_S^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}=\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}$, and the Drinfeld double $D(\mathcal{SH}_S^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}})$ is $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}\otimes(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}})^{coop}$ endowed with a suitable bialgebra structure.

Define the *reduced Drinfeld double* $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}_S^{\mathfrak{e},\mathfrak{s}})$ (see e.g. [DJX]) to be $D(\mathcal{SH}_S^{\mathfrak{e},\mathfrak{s}})$ with the following additional relation imposed

$$H_k^+(u)=H_k^-(-u),\ \text{ for any } k\in I.$$

The main theorem of this section is Theorem B, i.e., in the setup of this section, we have an isomorphism of bialgebras

$$\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}_S^{\mathfrak{e},\mathfrak{s}})|_{t_1=t_2=\hbar/2}\cong Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$$

where $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ is endowed with the Drinfeld coproduct.

Recall that Yangian for a finite dimensional Lie algebra is the quantization of a Manin triple [D86]. Fix a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(\dim\mathfrak{a}<\infty)$ and an invariant scalar product on it. Set

$$\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{a}(u^{-1}),\ \ \mathfrak{p}_1=\mathfrak{a}[u],\ \ \mathfrak{p}_2=u^{-1}\mathfrak{a}[u^{-1}]$$

and define the scalar product $\mathfrak{p}$ by

$$(f,g):=\operatorname{Res}_{u=\infty}(f(u),g(-u))du.$$

The Manin triple $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2)$ defines a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{a}[u]$. The cocommutator is given by

$$a(u) \mapsto [a(u) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a(u), \frac{t}{u-v}]$$

where t is the Casimir element. Identify $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[u]$ with $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_a$, then $\mathfrak{p}_1$ can be alternatively described as the following sub-bialgebra of $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F$

$$G_S = \{a \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F \mid \text{the image of a in } \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{A}_S \text{ belongs to the image of } \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda \text{ in } \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{A}_S\},$$

where $\mathbb{A}_S$ is the ring of adèle without ∞–components. The double Yangian, defined as the Drinfeld double of the Yangian, is a quantization of $\mathfrak{p}$.

There is an explicit Drinfeld-type presentation of the Yangian, which applies to any Kac-Moody Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ be the symmetric Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to the quiver Q. The Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ is $(c_{kl})_{k,l\in I}$, which is a symmetric matrix. Recall that the Yangian of $\mathfrak{g}_Q$, denoted by $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$, is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$, generated by the variables

$$x^{\pm}_{k,r}, \xi_{k,r}, (k \in I, r \in \mathbb{N}),$$

subject to relations described below. Take the generating series $\xi_k(u), x^{\pm}_k(u) \in Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)[\![u^{-1}]\!]$ by

$$\xi_k(u) = 1 + \hbar \sum_{r\geq 0} \xi_{k,r} u^{-r-1} \text{ and } x^{\pm}_k(u) = \hbar \sum_{r\geq 0} x^{\pm}_{k,r} u^{-r-1}.$$

The following is a complete set of relations defining $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ (see, e.g., [GTL14, § 3.4]):

(Y1): For any $i, j \in I$, and $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$[\xi_i(u), \xi_i(v)] = 0 \ \ [\xi_i(u), h] = 0 \ \ [h, h'] = 0$$

(Y2): For any $i \in I$, and $h, \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$[h, x^{\pm}_i(u)] = \pm \alpha_i(h) x^{\pm}_i(u)$$

(Y3): For any $i, j \in I$, and $a = \frac{\hbar c_{ij}}{2}$

$$(u - v \mp a)\xi_i(u) x^{\pm}_j(v) = (u - v \pm a) x^{\pm}_j(v)\xi_i(v) \mp 2a x^{\pm}_j(u \mp a)\xi_i(u)$$

(Y4): For any $i, j \in I$, and $a = \frac{\hbar c_{ij}}{2}$

$$(u - v \mp a) x^{\pm}_i(u) x^{\pm}_j(v) = (u - v \pm a) x^{\pm}_j(v) x^{\pm}_i(u) + \hbar\Big([x^{\pm}_{i,0}, x^{\pm}_j(v)] - [x^{\pm}_i(u), x^{\pm}_{j,0}]\Big)$$

(Y5): For any $i, j \in I$,

$$(u - v)[x^{+}_i(u), x^{-}_j(v)] = -\delta_{ij}\hbar(\xi_i(u) - \xi_i(v))$$

(Y6): For any $i \neq j \in I$,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{1-c_{ij}}} [x^{\pm}_i(u_{\sigma(1)}), [x^{\pm}_i(u_{\sigma(2)}), [\cdots, [x^{\pm}_i(u_{\sigma(1-c_{ij})}), x^{\pm}_j(v)] \cdots]]] = 0.$$

4.2. **Relation with the shuffle algebra.** In [YZ1], there is an algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}}\,|_{t_1=t_2=\frac{\hbar}{2}} \cong Y_\hbar^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Under this isomorphism, $\mathcal{SH}_{e_k} \ni (x^{(k)})^r \mapsto x_{k,r}$ for any $k \in I$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{SH}^0 \supseteq \mathbf{S}^{e_k} \ni (h^{(k)})^r \mapsto \xi_{k,r}$. This isomorphism is compatible with the action of the left hand side on cohomology of quiver varieties [YZ1] and the action of the right hand side [Va00].

Lemma 4.1. *Under this isomorphism, the comultiplication Δ defined in § 2 agrees with the Drinfeld coproduct of the Yangian.*

Proof. This follows from the formula (3) and the formula of Drinfeld coproduct of the Yangian [GTL14, § 4.4] (see also [Her07] for the case of quantum loop algebra). □

In [Nak12], the tensor structure on Yangian representations, in terms of the cohomology of quiver varieties, associated to Drinfeld comultiplication has been studied. In other words, the map from $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}})$ to the convolution algebra of the Steinberg variety [YZ1] is compatible with the Drinfeld comultiplications on both sides.

Let $f(x^{(k)})$ and $g(x^{(k)})$ be any elements in $\mathcal{SH}_{e_k}$. We denote $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}(h^{(k)})^i((x^{(k)})^{-i-1}\cdot f, g)$ by $H_k(x^{(k)})(f,g)$ then we have

$$H_k(x^{(k)})(f(x^{(k)}), g(x^{(k)})) = \mathrm{Res}_{x^{(k)}=\infty}(H_k(x^{(k)})\cdot f(x^{(k)})\cdot g(-x^{(k)})\cdot dx^{(k)}).$$

Let $E_k(u) := \hbar\sum_{r\geq 0}(x^{(k)})^r u^{-r-1} \in \mathcal{SH}_{e_k}[\![u^{-1}]\!]$ be the standard generating series. Note that it is the expansion of the rational function $\frac{\hbar}{u-x^{(k)}}$ on $\mathbb{G}_\alpha \times \mathbb{G}$ around $u=\infty$. We denote the element $-E_k(-u)^- \in \mathcal{SH}^{coop}_{e_k}[\![u^{-1}]\!] \subseteq D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}})[\![u^{-1}]\!]$ by $F_k(u)$. Note that as a consequence of the isomorphism $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}} \cong Y_\hbar^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{g})$, the map $F_k(u) \mapsto x_k^-(u)$, $H_k^-(-u) \mapsto \xi_k(u)$ induces an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{e}})^{coop} \cong Y_\hbar^{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Recall the *reduced Drinfeld double* $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e},\mathfrak{s}})$ (see e.g. [DJX]) is $D(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e},\mathfrak{s}})$ with the following additional relation imposed

$$H_k^+(u) = H_k^-(-u), \text{ for any } k \in I.$$

Set $H_k(u) := -\hbar H_k^+(u) - \hbar H_k^-(-u)$.

Theorem 4.2. *The following relations hold in the reduced Drinfeld double $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e},\mathfrak{s}})$:*

$$E_k(u)F_l(v) = F_l(v)E_k(u), \text{ for } k \neq l. \tag{7}$$

$$E_k(u)F_k(v) - F_k(v)E_k(u) = -\hbar\left(\frac{H_k(u)-H_k(v)}{u-v}\right). \tag{8}$$

Proof. First we consider the case when $k=l$. In $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}$, we have $\Delta(E_k(u)) = H_k(x^{(k)})\otimes E_k(u) + E_k(u)\otimes 1$ by (4). We use the relation (5) with $a = -E_k(-u)$, $b = E_k(v)$ and the fact

$$(E_k(u), H_l(x)) = 0, \quad (1, E_k(u)) = 0.$$

It gives the following relation in $\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e},coop}\otimes\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{e}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&H_k^-(x^{(k)})\star 1(-E_k(-u), E_k(v)) + F_k(u)\star E_k(v)(1, H_k(x^{(k)}))\\ =&E_k(v)\star F_k(u)(1, H_k(x^{(k)})) + H_k^+(x^{(k)})\star 1(E_k(v), -E_k(-u)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[F_k(u), E_k(v)] &= H_k^+(x^{(k)})(E_k(v), -E_k(-u)) - H_k^-(x^{(k)})(-E_k(-u), E_k(v)) \\
&= H_k^+(x^{(k)}) \left(\frac{\hbar}{v - x^{(k)}}, \frac{\hbar}{u + x^{(k)}} \right) - H_k^-(x^{(k)}) \left(\frac{\hbar}{u + x^{(k)}}, \frac{\hbar}{v - x^{(k)}} \right) \\
&= \hbar^2 \operatorname{Res}_{x^{(k)}=\infty} \frac{H_k^+(x^{(k)}) dx^{(k)}}{(v - x^{(k)})(u - x^{(k)})} - \hbar^2 \operatorname{Res}_{x^{(k)}=\infty} \frac{H_k^-(x^{(k)}) dx^{(k)}}{(u + x^{(k)})(v + x^{(k)})} \\
&= \hbar^2 \Big(- \frac{H_k^+(u) - H_k^+(v)}{u - v} + \frac{H_k^-(-v) - H_k^-(-u)}{-v + u} \Big) \\
&= \hbar \frac{H_k(u) - H_k(v)}{u - v}
\end{aligned}$$

The case when $k \neq l$ is clear, which follows from the relation (5) with $a = E_k(u)$, $b = E_l(v)$, and $(E_k(u), E_l(v)) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

The following is a direct corollary to Theorem 4.2. It implies Theorem B, taking Proposition 1.7 into account.

Corollary 4.3. *We have an algebra isomorphism* $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}}) \cong Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. Construct a map from the Yangian $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}})$, by

$$x_k^+(u) \mapsto E_k(u) \ , x_k^-(u) \mapsto F_k(u) \ \text{ and } \ \xi_k(u) \mapsto H_k(u).$$

By Theorem 4.2, the map respects the relations of the Yangian $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, hence is an algebra homomorphism. Be definition of $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}})$, this map is surjective. It also preserves the triangular decomposition $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) = Y_\hbar^+(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y^0 \otimes Y_\hbar^-(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}}) = \mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}} \otimes \mathcal{SH}^0 \otimes (\mathcal{SH}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})^{coop}$, which restricts to an isomorphism on each tensor-factor. Therefore, it is an isomorphism. □

4.3. **The double Yangian.** The double Yangian $DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, which is the Drinfeld double of the Yangian $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, can also be realized as a subalgebra in the reduced version of $D(\mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$. The construction is similar to that of $D(\mathcal{SH}_S^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$.

Remark 4.4. For each $i \in I$, let $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,K} \subseteq \mathcal{SH}_{e_i,\mathbb{A}}$ be the image of K_∞ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}$. We consider the subalgebra of $D(\mathcal{SH}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$, generated by $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,K}$, $\mathcal{SH}_{e_i,K}^{coop}$ for $i \in I$, and $\mathcal{SH}^0 \otimes (\mathcal{SH}^0)^{coop}$. This subalgebra, which is closed under comultiplication, is denoted by $D(\mathcal{SH}_K^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$. Again, define the *reduced Drinfeld double* $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}_K^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}})$ to be $D(\mathcal{SH}_K^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}})$ with the following additional relation imposed

$$H_k^+(u) = H_k^-(-u), \ \text{ for any } k \in I.$$

Clearly $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}_S^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}}) \subseteq \overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}_K^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{c}})$ is a sub-bialgebra. Taking classical limit, we have an isomorphism

$$\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}_K^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}})|_{t_1=t_2=0} \cong U(\mathfrak{g}(u^{-1})).$$

Therefore, we have an algebra isomorphism $\overline{D}(\mathcal{SH}_K^{\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{s}}) \cong DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, where $DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ is the double Yangian.

References

[CZZ14] B. Calmès, K. Zainoulline, and C. Zhong, *Equivariant oriented cohomology of flag varieties*, preprint, (2014). arXiv:1409.7111 1.3

[DJX] R. Dou, Y. Jiang, and J. Xiao, *The Hall algebra approach to Drinfeld's presentation of quantum loop algebras.* Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 5, 2593–2625. MR2970461 3, 4.1, 4.2

[D86] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians 1986, Vol. 1, 798–820, AMS 1987. 0, 3.1, 3.1, 4.1

[Fed94] G. Felder, *Elliptic quantum groups*. XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994), 211–218, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995. MR1370676 0, 0

[GTL14] S. Gautam, V. Toledano Laredo, *Meromorphic Kazhdan-Lusztig equivalence for Yangians and quantum loop algebras*, preprint, (2014). arXiv:1403.5251 4.1, 4.2

[GTL15] S. Gautam, V. Toledano Laredo, *Elliptic quantum groups and their finite–dimensional representations*, in preparation. 0

[GKV95] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, and E. Vasserot, *Elliptic algebras and equivariant elliptic cohomology*, Preprint, (1995). arXiv:9505012 0

[GH78] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Reprint of the 1978 original. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994. xiv+813 pp. MR1288523 3.1, 3.1, 3.1

[Gr94a] I. Grojnowski, *Delocalized equivariant elliptic cohomology*, Elliptic cohomology, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **342**, Cambridge Univ. Press, (2007), 111–113. MR2330509 0

[Gr94b] I. Grojnowski, *Affinizing quantum algebras: From D-modules to K-theory*, preprint, (1994). 0, 3.2

[HM13] J. Heller and J. Malagón-López, *Equivariant algebraic cobordism*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 684, 87-112, (2013). MR3181557 1.3

[Her07] D. Hernandez, *Drinfeld coproduct, quantum fusion tensor category and applications*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **95** (2007), no. 3, 567–608. MR2368277 4.2

[KoSo11] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **5** (2011), no. 2, 231–352. MR2851153 0

[Kr12] A. Krishna, *Equivariant cobordism of schemes*, Doc. Math., 17, 95-134, (2012). MR2889745 1.3

[Lec04] B. Leclerc, *Dual canonical bases, quantum shuffles and q-characters*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **246** (2004), no. 4, 691–732. 0

[LZ14] C. Lenart and K. Zainoulline, *Towards generalized cohomology Schubert calculus via formal root polynomials*. preprint, (2014). arXiv:1408.5952 3.9

[LM07] M. Levine, F. Morel, *Algebraic cobordism theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2007. MR2286826 1.3, 3.9

[L91] G. Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), no. 2, 365–421. MR1088333 0

[Nak01] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. **1**, 145–238. MR1808477 arXiv:9912158

[Nak12] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and tensor products II* , Symmetries, integrable systems and representations, 403–428, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 40, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. MR3077693 4.2

[Ne14] A. Negut, *The shuffle algebra revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2014, no. 22, 6242–6275. 0

[R98] M. Rosso, *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*, Invent. math. **133**, 399–416 (1998). MR1632802 0

[SV12] O. Schiffmann, E. Vasserot, *The elliptic Hall algebra and the K-theory of the Hilbert scheme of* $\mathbb{A}^2$. Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 2, 279-366. MR3018956 0

[To99] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*. Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), 249–281, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. MR1743244 1.3

[Th93] R. Thomason, *Les K-groupes d'un schéma éclaté et une formule d'intersection excédentaire*, Invent. Math. 112 (1993), 195–215. MR1207482 1.3

[Va00] M. Varagnolo, *Quiver varieties and Yangians*, Lett. Math. Phys. **53** (2000), no. 4, 273–283. MR1818101 4.2

[YZ1] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *The cohomological Hall algebra of a preprojective algebra*, preprint, (2015). arXiv:1407.7994v4 (document), 0, 1.2, 1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.4, 1.8, 4.2, 4.2

[YZ2] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Quiver varieties and elliptic quantum groups*, in preparation. 0

[ZZ14] G. Zhao and C. Zhong, *Geometric representations of the formal affine Hecke algebra*, Preprint, (2014). arXiv:1406.1283 1.3

Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA
E-mail address: yaping@math.umass.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, 01003, USA
E-mail address: gufangzhao@zju.edu.cn